“No,” say Traber Davis Giardina, Ph.D., M.S.W., and Hardeep Singh, M.D., M.P.H., researchers at the Houston Veterans Affairs Center for Innovations in Quality, Effectiveness and Safety. Physicians tend to be less comfortable with patients having access to abnormal test results, while patients express a strong desire to have immediate and direct access to their test results.

Failure to follow up or notify patients of abnormal test results can cause delays in diagnosis and treatment, which can result in patient harm. One potential method to mitigate these delays is to provide patients with direct access to their results via online patient portals. Currently, some institutions provide patients with their test results as soon as they become available, without waiting for the ordering physician to release the results or initiate a follow-up appointment.

In two recent studies, Giardina, Singh and colleagues explored physicians’ and patients’ perceptions of releasing abnormal test results directly to patients. A cross-sectional survey of physicians found that two-thirds (65 percent) believed that patients should be directly notified about normal test results, but only 21 percent were comfortable with direct notification of clinically significant abnormal results. The majority of physicians expressed concerns about patients’ anxiety (85 percent), confusion (89 percent), lack of expertise to interpret the results (85 percent), and seeking unreliable information to understand the results (75 percent).

When the authors interviewed patients about receiving abnormal test results via patient portal, they found that personal experiences with physicians, abnormal test result notification and the online portal heavily influenced respondents’ notification preferences. The majority of patients interviewed wanted access to their abnormal test results but expressed concern about being able to access information tailored to their preferences, the need for timely notification, difficulty interpreting the relevance of a result, and being electronically notified of “sensitive” results.

The 2009 Health Information for Economic and Clinical Health Act emphasizes the importance of providing patients with electronic access to their medical information and incentivizes patient engagement in health care. The adoption of patient portals is an essential component of these national policy efforts to reduce costs and improve the quality of care. However, despite policy incentives to increase patient access to information, there is limited evidence of the benefits, limitations and challenges involved in implementing this approach. Test results review is an area of high interest to patients, and such studies are important in fostering effective patient engagement strategies. However, these studies suggest that in view of the discrepancy between physicians’ and patients’ concerns, effective strategies for implementing direct notification should provide patients with tools to enhance context-based interpretation. Additionally, physicians’ attitudes and beliefs about direct notification might play an integral role in patients’ adoption of these new health communication strategies. Therefore, the authors recommend that to address physician and patient concerns and maximize the benefits of patient portals, these tools should support varying levels of health literacy and include information tailored to individual patients.
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